NATURAL TURF ALLIANCE

# Rethinking Sporting Field Carrying Capacity

## Why modern sporting field planning should focus on wear- not booked hours

### Overview

For many years, sporting field planning has been influenced by the belief that synthetic turf provides substantially greater carrying capacity than natural turf. This assumption has driven millions of dollars of investment in synthetic fields across Australia.

However, advances in turf construction, drainage, irrigation and maintenance have fundamentally changed what best-practice natural turf can achieve. Equally important, modern planning recognises that carrying capacity is determined by far more than the durability of the playing surface. Practical scheduling limits, actual field wear and whole-of-network planning are now recognised as critical factors in delivering sporting facilities that are sustainable, accessible and financially responsible.

## The Evolution of Carrying Capacity

The long-standing assumption that natural turf can sustain only around 20–30 hours of use each week originated decades ago when many sporting fields were constructed on poorly drained clay soils with limited irrigation and outdated turf varieties.

Today's best-practice natural turf fields are very different. Engineered sand profiles, improved drainage, automated irrigation, modern couch cultivars and improved maintenance practices have significantly increased resilience and recovery following intensive use.

Evidence from councils across Australia demonstrates that well-designed natural turf fields can comfortably sustain **40–50 hours of organised use per week**, with some achieving even higher levels under favourable conditions. Hybrid reinforcement systems can further improve durability in selected high-wear areas while retaining the environmental and performance benefits of natural grass.

The real question is therefore no longer whether natural turf can meet community needs, but whether sporting fields are being designed, maintained and managed using contemporary best practice rather than outdated assumptions.

## Carrying Capacity Is More Than Surface Durability

One of the most common misconceptions is that carrying capacity can be measured simply by the number of booked hours or the number of registered players associated with clubs using a field.

Modern sporting field planning recognises that neither measure accurately reflects carrying capacity. Instead, capacity should be assessed using three complementary factors:

**Surface durability** – the ability of the playing surface to recover from wear through appropriate design and maintenance.

**Practical scheduling capacity** – the finite number of training sessions and competition matches that can realistically be accommodated each week.

**Actual field wear** – recognising that different activities create very different levels of wear. Organised football training and competition place substantially greater stress on a surface than school physical education, informal recreation, walking or passive community use.

Assessments based solely on booked hours or theoretical participation numbers can significantly overstate demand and lead to poor investment decisions. Best-practice planning instead considers how a field is actually used and the level of wear generated by those activities.

## Practical Scheduling Limits

Regardless of the playing surface, every sporting field has practical scheduling limits.

The NSW Best Practice Guidelines demonstrate that a full-sized football field can realistically accommodate approximately **550 players per week** through a combination of weekday training and weekend competition. This equates to around **42.5 hours of organised club use**, based on the finite number of available training sessions and competition fixtures.

Importantly, installing a synthetic surface does not create:

- additional weekday evenings for training
- more weekend competition hours
- extra game time slots
- additional parking or supporting infrastructure
- more volunteers, referees or coaches.

While synthetic turf may tolerate higher levels of physical wear, these practical scheduling constraints often determine the real capacity of a sporting field long before the playing surface itself becomes the limiting factor.

Understanding this distinction is essential when evaluating future sporting field investments.

## Whole-of-Network Planning

Community demand is rarely met by a single sporting field. Instead, councils manage networks of sporting facilities that together provide opportunities for organised sport, schools and informal community recreation.

Improving several natural turf fields through better construction, drainage and maintenance can often deliver greater overall capacity, resilience and flexibility than concentrating investment in a single synthetic facility.

Whole-of-network planning also provides greater operational flexibility by distributing use across multiple venues, allowing fields to recover naturally while maintaining community access.

This approach increases participation opportunities while reducing long-term financial, environmental and operational risks.

## Key Takeaways

- Modern best-practice natural turf can sustain **40–50+ hours** of organised sporting use each week.
- Carrying capacity depends on **surface durability, practical scheduling capacity and actual field wear**, not simply booked hours.
- Every sporting field has practical scheduling limits, regardless of whether it is natural or synthetic.
- Activities generate different levels of wear and should not be treated equally when assessing capacity.
- Investing across a network of quality natural turf fields often provides greater community benefit than concentrating demand on a single synthetic surface.
- Modern planning should be based on verified evidence, contemporary design standards and realistic patterns of use rather than outdated assumptions.

## References


1. NSW Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water (2025). Best Practice Guidelines for Sporting Fields.

2. NSW Department of Planning (2025). Division 5.1 Addendum – Synthetic Turf Sports Fields Assessment Requirements.

3. AgEnviro Solutions (2025). Winter Usage, Wear and Carrying Capacity of Sporting Fields – Preliminary Findings.

4. NSW Chief Scientist & Engineer (2022). Independent Review into the Design, Use and Impacts of Synthetic Turf in Public Open Spaces.

5. PARC Australia (2025). North Shore Sports Fields Network Capacity and Access Review.

6. Turf Australia (2024). Synthetic vs Natural Turf – Performance and Lifecycle Fact Sheet.


NATURAL TURF ALLIANCE


E: enquiry@naturalturfalliance.org
A: PO Box 481, Lindfield, NSW 2070



This Fact Sheet has been developed with the support of Lawn Solutions Australia, the nation's largest network of turf specialists.
**www.lawnsolutionsaustralia.com.au**


**Natural Turf Alliance Inc (NTA) is a Registered Association consisting of community groups committed to preserving and developing natural grass open spaces including sporting fields.**
Our core focus is engaging with local councils and sporting groups in relation to best practice natural turf construction and maintenance.